

**To:** kjohnston (kjohnston@santamonicabay.org)[kjohnston@santamonicabay.org]; Tom Ford[tford@santamonicabay.org]  
**Cc:** Yelensky, Erica[Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov]  
**From:** Yelensky, Erica  
**Sent:** Thur 12/14/2017 1:03:41 AM  
**Subject:** re: for tomorrow afternoon – SMBNEP work plan outcome table draft and other workplan comments  
[LCEP YR 17-19 Workplan Feb 2017.pdf](#)  
[SMBNEP Work Plan Outcome table DRAFT 10-17-17.docx](#)

Hi Karina and Tom,

I'm looking forward to seeing both of you tomorrow. I'm sending this in advance of meeting tomorrow afternoon to give us a starting place. Based on previous discussions, I think we are on the same page about refining FY 2019 workplan to make your environmental results pop and to shorten the length of the work plan. I understand that you do not want to drastically overhaul the structure. That is fine.

- I think we are on the right track with the attached outcome table that Karina developed when we met back in October. I would like to have something like this that is only 1-2 pages and is inclusive of all your projects. This example is similar to what I am thinking: <https://www.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/estuary-2100-project-phase-2-building-partnerships-resilient-watersheds>
- As you develop your FY 19 workplan, please look for opportunities to lump tasks where it makes sense. This could make future tracking easier and help shorten the workplan.
- The NEP guidance asks you to include "previous years program accomplishments." Please take a look at lower Columbia estuary program work plan (see attached). Let me know what you think of the bulleted lists they use. Or, depending on how your annual glossy report is written, you could refer to that.
- Below are two areas we could discuss for helping make your environmental results stand out.
  1. These can be better defined within the task tables so they are easier to find. For example, instead of just saying "conduct pumpout monitoring," this could say "conduct quarterly

monitoring of 71 pumpout stations throughout Southern California."

1.2b Implement the Boater Education Program				
Conduct pumpout monitoring	TBF	Lead	San Francisco Estuary Partnership, DBW, CCC	Quarterly monitoring and annual report
Implement Honey Pot Live	TBF	Lead	San Francisco Estuary Partnership, DBW, CCC	Implementation of Honey Pot Live in summer; final report

2. After each task table there is a list of environmental results but they are not quantified. Here's an example from task 2.2b. It would be really helpful to know up front how many acres of wetlands and how many linear miles of passage etc. ... This level of detail could be included in the table is easy to find.

*Environmental Results: Outcomes: Acres of wetland habitat restored, improvement in ecosystem functions and connectivity in major coastal wetland complexes measured by CRAM scores, and increased public and stakeholder engagement; restored, improved riparian - aquatic habitat and biodiversity as measured by California Stream Condition Index (CSCI) scores, increased linear miles of passage for endangered southern steelhead trout, improved water quality measured by fewer related 303d listings, reduced erosion/sedimentation as shown by monitoring data, and reduction and effective control of invasive species populations.*

Let's also talk about the BRP, how the workshop went, and potential financial needs for getting the new BRP online.

Best,

Erica

Disclaimer: This message was written with voice activated software. It may contain errors. Some of them might be interesting. Observe the context and the meaning will, hopefully, be obvious.

Erica Yelensky  
Direct: (415) 972-3021  
Watersheds Office  
U.S. EPA Region 9, Water Division  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
<http://www.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/sf-bay-water-quality-improvement-fund>

**From:** Karina Johnston [<mailto:kjohnston@santamonicabay.org>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 17, 2017 3:57 PM  
**To:** Yelensky, Erica <[Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov](mailto:Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov)>; Tom Ford <[tford@santamonicabay.org](mailto:tford@santamonicabay.org)>  
**Subject:** SMBNEP work plan outcome table draft

Hi Erica and Tom – please see attached.

Tom – Erica is going to run this by Sam to get his thoughts. I'm happy to discuss with you in person. Here's a link we can reference too, with really good examples:

<https://www.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/sf-bay-water-quality-improvement-fund-projects-and-accomplishments>

Cheers,

Karina Johnston

Director of Watershed Programs

The Bay Foundation

[kjohnston@santamonicabay.org](mailto:kjohnston@santamonicabay.org)

Office: (310) 216-9824

[www.ballonarestoration.org](http://www.ballonarestoration.org)

[www.santamonicabay.org](http://www.santamonicabay.org)

